

LAY FLAT 01: REMAIN IN LIGHT

TEXT BY

CARA PHILLIPS
DARIUS HIMES
ERIC WILLIAM CARROLL
JASON FULFORD
SHANE LAVALETTE
TIM DAVIS

PHOTOGRAPHS BY

ANDREAS WEINAND ANNE LASS COLEY BROWN DEBORA MITTELSTAEDT ED PANAR ESTELLE HANANIA GUSTAV ALMESTĀL HIROYO KANEKO KAMDEN VENCILL MARK MCKNIGHT MICHEL CAMPEAU NICOLAI HOWALT & TRINE SØNDERGAARD NICOLA KAST NICHOLAS HAGGARD SHAWN RECORDS RAIMOND WOUDA RICHARD BARNES THOBIAS FALDT WHITNEY HUBBS YANN ORHAN

THE CRISIS OF EXPERIENCE

Eric William Carroll

Photography has been the subject of a number of intellectual studies, papers, and books. Philosopher/hist-orian Walter Benjamin can be said to have initiated the intellectual discourse on photography with his oft-cited essay "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction," written in 1936. Since then, photography has been analyzed in a number of popular texts, with authors such as Roland Barthes, Susan Sontag, and Vilém Flusser writing entire books on the subject. Because of its ubiquitous nature in the lives of both western and eastern societies, photography is often studied for its cultural implications, which are many and multi-faceted. Like others, I am interested in these cultural effects, but I also find photography's economical and psychological characteristics worthy of serious discourse.

My ideas regarding photography are the result of three general avenues. As an intellectual, I have had the chance to study a number of texts by the authors mentioned above, as well as more current takes on photography by writers such as Sylviane Agacinski, Mary Ann Doane and Geoffrey Batchen. As a professional photographer, I have been able to understand photography as a commercial and creative industry, becoming familiar with its technological and economical history. Lastly, as a member of your average American family, I have tried to pay special attention to the role photography plays in the lives of those around me who aren't philosophers of photography or professionals of the trade.

The reason I took photography as my subject, like many before me, is because of the overall uneasiness I feel towards the medium, almost a sort of crisis. Ross McElwee sums up this crisis best in his 1993 film *Time Indefinite*. While filming his newborn son, McElwee soberly acknowledges that one cannot both experience and document something at the same time. This crisis, of having to choose either experience or the document of it, has been one that surfaces throughout my life and my art. As a photographer, do I 'experience' less because I 'document' more? Is experience more valuable than documentation? And how do we understand the experience of making documents?

One problem that McElwee's statement and the following questions create is the supposed binary relationship of experience and documentation—as if one is the antithesis of the other. McElwee, like many others, posits experience without a camera as being unmediated and pure. The French theorist Jacques Derrida writes at length regarding this myth of pure experience, or presence. He has noted the privileging of pure experience and language over 'imitations' such as writing and photography throughout history. As for myself, while I don't agree with the extreme binary opposition between photography and life, I do find them existing on a spectrum of sorts.

Susan Stewart uses the quotation in an analogy that I find useful in my understanding of photography in relation to the world. Stewart understands the quotation's existence as both privileging the origin (by paying respect to its sources) while at the same time maintaining its own authenticity (by being open to inter-

- [1] Susan Stewart, On Longing: Narratives of the Miniature, the Gigantic, the Souvenir, the Collection (London: Duke University Press, 1993), 19.
- [2] Experience seems to be the most neutral term to describe the world-the 'real' or any reference to 'purity' only seems to reinforce the privileging of origin that I'm trying to wrestle with.
- [3] Sylviane Agacinski, <u>Time</u>
 <u>Passing: Modernity and Nostalgia</u>
 (New York: Columbia University
 Press, 2003), 91.
- [4] Roland Barthes, <u>Camera Lucida</u>: <u>Reflections on Photography</u> (New York: Hill and Wang, 1982), 91.

pretation and losing its context). [1] If we substitute 'the world' or our lived experience for Stewart's text, we can see the photograph operating much like a quotation from life. A photograph pays tribute to its original source; the fact that the 'this' pictured in a photograph is believed to have actually happened at one point in history, and also that the act of photography usually deems something important or worthy of being photographed. But it also attains a life of its own, as photographs often become the memory and are collected, framed, bought and sold as actual objects in the world. I understand the photograph as both a fragmentary interpretation of the real (through the physical operations of cause and effect it maintains some sort of truth value), as well as being a participant in what it supposedly captures: 'experience.' [2] While the act of photography does not destroy experience, I will argue that it always changes it.

Sylviane Agacinski writes, "...the amateur photographer risks depriving himself of any present. In fact, it is risky to sacrifice present experience or subjective memory to recordings because - apart from being different in nature—the material memory is much less sure than we would believe it to be." [3] While depravation of the present is awfully close to McElwee's non-experience, I still partially agree with Agacinski's mistrust of the medium as a 'material memory'. Roland Barthes even argues against photography's function as an external memory: "Not only is the Photograph never, in essence, a memory, but it actually blocks memory, quickly becomes a counter-memory." [4] Barthes also understands photography's ability to record everything in the frame with the same amount of detail and accuracy-resulting in an overwhelming amount of archived information.

Mary Ann Doane notes how time, through photographic and cinematic technologies, has become atomized.

This in turn allows for a more rapid consumption/ experience of time, while simultaneously preserving minute details—what Doane refers to as the contingent. [5] As a result, the photographic archive falls back onto itself in a great irony-everything is being saved (whereas previously the archive was a place for things of value/worth) and yet our experience of time is so fast we rarely have a chance to revisit our recordings. It is the great catch-22 of photographic technologies. We spend time and money archiving our lives, only to find out that either we don't have time to revisit them, or the technology we saved them on has become obsolete. It is a bittersweet irony that photography's supposedly essential drive is to preserve, when in fact the technology that is driving photography is producing material just as ephemeral as the moments it claims to record.

The 'crisis' here that Barthes, Doane, Stewart, and to some extent, myself, are trying to argue, is that a photographer, in choosing to document an event rather than participate in it without a camera, is trading her subjective memory of the experience for a photographic/ material one. The problems arise when the photographer attempts to revisit the experience, but is only left with a longing nostalgia for one of several reasons: A) The tempo of our lives has increased to such a speed that one cannot spare time to revisit the documents, so they sit in a box in the attic B) The photographs in the box will most likely fade and the memory cards that the images are stored on will become obsolete in a matter of years and C) If time is found and the images are still viewable, re-visitation of the photographs recall only the absence of the 'original' moment-its unrepeatability—of which the image is only a quotation.

[5] Mary Ann Doane, <u>The</u>
<u>Emergence of Cinematic Time</u>;
<u>Modernity, Contingency, The</u>
<u>Archive</u> (London: Harvard
University Press, 2005), 31.

ERIC WILLIAM CARROLL (b.1980) is a New York-based artist and musician who explores the idea and effects of time using both photography and sculpture. He received his MFA from the University of Minnesota in 2006 and a BA in philosophy from Coe College in 2002. He also fronts the indie rock band Tim Rally Gold.

ericwilliamcarroll.com

TIM DAVIS (b.1969) is an artist and poet, living and working in New York City and Tivoli, New York. He graduated from Bard College and earned a Masters of Fine Arts degree from Yale University. He is the author of several monographs, including Lots, Permanent Collection and My Life in Politics, plus a book of poetry titled American Whatever. He is represented by Greenberg Van Doren Gallery and Sikkema Jenkins & Co. in New York City and teaches in the Photography Program at Bard College. Tim was awarded the Joseph H. Hazen Rome Prize from the American Academy in Rome in 2007.

JASON FULFORD (b.1973) is a photographer and cofounder of J&L Books. He has lectured at the Corcoran College of Art, Cranbrook Academy of Art, LACMA, MassArt, P.S.1, SVA, Wesleyan University and Yale University. He is also a contributing editor to <u>Blind Spot</u>. Fulford's photographs have been featured in <u>Harper's</u>, <u>The New York Times Magazine</u>, <u>Time</u>, and on book jackets for Don Delillo, John Updike, Bertrand Russell, Terry Eagleton, Ernest Hemingway and Richard Ford. Monographs include <u>Sunbird</u> (2000), <u>Crushed</u> (2003), and <u>Raising Frogs for \$\$\$</u> (2006). He lives in Scranton, PA. jasonfulford.com

DARIUS HIMES (b.1970) loves photography and books and photography books. He founded Radius Books with three colleagues in 2007. Before that, he was the editor of the Photo-eye Booklist. He is happy to have written for such journals as Aperture, Blind Spot, BoomB, and now, Lay Flat. Darius likes to hear what people think about the world, and considers himself an intractable optimist. dariushimes.com

dariushimes.com radiusbooks.org SHANE LAVALETTE (b.1987) is a photographer from the great state of Vermont. He is currently living in Cambridge, MA, studying for a degree from Tufts University and The School of the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston. When he is not making photographs, he is writing about photographs. His Journal, which has one of the highest readerships of photography-related blogs, focuses on fine art photography and issues concerning contemporary photographic practice. Shane is the founder and editor of Lay Flat.shanelavalette.com shanelavalette.com/journal layflat.org

MIKE MANDEL (b.1950) is a photographer and an artist who creates works for public spaces. He received a BA from California State University, Northridge (1972) and a MFA from San Francisco Art Institute (1974). Recent permanent public art commissions include San Diego International Airport, Tampa International Airport, Charlotte Arena, and the 8th District Police Station in Chicago. Mike is currently collaborating with his wife, Chantal Zakari, on a book about the public imagery of Mustafa Kemal Atatürk, the founder of modern Turkey.

CARA PHILLIPS (b.1974) is a Brooklyn-based photographer. She received her BA from Sarah Lawrence College and came to photography after a childhood spent in front of the camera and many years in the beauty business as a make-up artist. She is the recent recipient of the Blurb Photography Book Now Prize, 1st Runner Up, Themed Book Category and is a 2008 Critical Mass finalist. Images from her "Singular Beauty" series can be seen in Issue #31 of Cabinet Magazine. In addition to her own photography projects, she writes a successful photo blog, Ground Glass, and is the co-founder/co-curator of Women in Photography, an online exhibition project featuring the work of emerging and established female artists. cara-phillips.com wipnyc.org

KARLY WILDENHAUS (b.1987) is a student at the School of the Art Institute of Chicago where she is currently researching new forms of curatorial practice. She is also the editor of the Chicago arts blog On The Make. karlywildenhaus.com onthemake.org